



IO 500

Creating a Perfect,
Completely Not Quixotic,
why would you even think that,
Storage Benchmark

John Bent
jbent@ddn.com
DDN Field CTO
Data over Distance 2018
July 19, 2019



IO500 | My Most Recent Motivation: “How Fast Does a Disk Drive Go?”

- ▶ Firmware engineer: “150 – 160 MB/s”
- ▶ Marketer: “200 MB/s”
- ▶ Performance engineer: “130 MB/s”
- ▶ Salesperson: “100 MB/s”

- ▶ User: “Why do I only see 10 MB/s?!?”

IO500 | My Original Motivation

- ▶ Experience at LANL with hard IO patterns
 - There are easy IO patterns and hard IO patterns
 - Performance divergence is extreme
 - In capacity systems, easy never survives
 - Vendors/community were focused on easy
 - But hard IO patterns are more important

How to get community to embrace the challenge of hard IO patterns?



IO500 | Motivation Summary

- ▶ **More honesty from vendors**
 - Empathy for users who don't know who to believe
- ▶ **Create realistic expectations**
 - Empathy for users who don't know what to expect
- ▶ **Community repository**
 - Empathy for users who don't know how to tune
- ▶ **More balanced systems**
 - Empathy for users who run on imbalanced systems
- ▶ **Easier RFP writing**
 - Empathy for procurers who struggle to define an ideal system
- ▶ **Better storage**
 - Force vendors to focus on the problems of real users

IO500 | Demotivation Summary

“Oh, hmm. Thanks John, but you shouldn’t invite us to your BoF. Trust me, you don’t want us coming to your BoF.”

“Dumbest idea ever.”

“Uh, shouldn’t it be called the IO-9? Heh, heh.”



“Last thing the world needs is another overly simple benchmark like Linpack that is driving supercomputing into the toilet.”

“So many better things to do. Please don’t waste your time, and the community’s time, on this.”



“Seriously? You’re joking, right? Ugh, you’re actually serious.”

“We tried 20 years ago to do this. It’s impossible to create a single representative benchmark.”



IO500 | A Legitimate Concern About Linpack




IO500 | A Legitimate Concern About Linpack



IO500 | A Legitimate Concern About Linpack



IO500 | Balance is Missing



Lesson and Goal

IO500 must itself be balanced and, in being so, will help restore balance to supercomputing.

IO500 | IO500 is Balanced

▶ Hero bandwidth

- Write and read

▶ Anti-hero bandwidth

- Write and read

▶ Hero metadata

- Create, stat, delete

▶ Anti-hero metadata

- Create, stat, read, delete

▶ And a namespace search

- Search

IO500 | IO500 is Balanced

► Hero bandwidth

- Write and read

► Anti-hero bandwidth

- Write and read

► Hero metadata


- Create, stat, delete

► Anti-hero metadata

- Create, stat, read, delete


► And a namespace search

- Search



IO500 | Bounding Box of Expectation

- ▶ “We tried 20 years ago. Impossible to create a single representative benchmark.”
 - Great point! We won’t try. Our bounding box includes them all.





BOLD CLAIM

IO500 cannot be gamed.



Whatever you do to improve your IO500 score will result in a better storage system for applications.

Prove me wrong. 😊



IO500 | IO500 Restores Balance



IO500 | IO500 Restores Balance



IO500 | IO500 Restores Balance




IO500 | First List at SC'17

#	information				io500			ior			
	system	institution	filesystem	client nodes	score	bw	md	easy write	easy read	hard write	hard read
						GiB/s	kIOP/s	GiB/s	GiB/s	GiB/s	GiB/s
1	Oakforest-PACS	JCAHPC	IME	2048	101.48	471.25	21.85	742.38	427.41	600.28	258.93
2	Shaheen	Kaust	DataWarp	300	70.90	151.53	33.17	969.45	894.76	15.55	39.09
3	Shaheen	Kaust	Lustre	1000	41.00	54.17	31.03	333.03	220.62	1.44	81.38
4	JURON	JSC	BeeGFS	8	35.77	14.24	89.83	30.42	48.36	1.46	19.16
5	Mistral	DKRZ	Lustre	100	32.15	22.77	45.39	158.19	163.62	1.53	6.79
6	Sonasad	IBM	Spectrum Scale	10	21.63	4.57	102.38	34.13	32.25	0.17	2.33
7	Seislab	Fraunhofer	BeeGFS	24	18.75	5.13	68.58	18.79	22.34	0.89	1.86
8	EMSL Cascade	PNNL	Lustre	126	11.17	4.88	25.57	17.81	30.19	0.39	2.72
9	Serrano	SNL	Spectrum Scale	16	4.25	0.65	27.98	1.08	1.03	0.22	0.71

IO500 | Some Analysis of First List

IO500 SC2017 Bounding Box of Expectations



JCAHPC IME	101
KAUST DataWarp	71
KAUST Lustre	41

IO500 | We suggest a default ordering, but it's flexible

This is the official list from Supercomputing 2017.

#	information					io500		
	Equation	system	institution	filesystem	client nodes	score	bw	md
							GiB/s	kIOP/s
1	4.47	JURON	JSC	BeeGFS				
2	2.16	Sonasad	IBM	Spectrum Scale				
3	0.78	Seislab	Fraunhofer	BeeGFS				
4	0.32	Mistral	DKRZ	Lustre				
5	0.27	Serrano	SNL	Spectrum Scale				
6	0.24	Shaheen	Kaust	DataWarp				
7	0.09	EMSL Cascade	PNNL	Lustre				
8	0.05	Oakforest-PACS	JCAHPC	IME				
9	0.04	Shaheen	Kaust	Lustre				



Follow

Congrats to [@fzj_jsc](#) team for achieving the best per-client performance with their BeeGFS file system on Juron in the new [#IO500](#) list at [#SC17](#). Whitepaper for Juron is here: [beegfs.io/docs/whitepape...](https://beegfs.io/docs/whitepaper...)

Controls

Equation

Add column



Remove column

IO500 | Second List at ISC'18


#	information						io500		
	system	institution	filesystem	storage vendor	client nodes	data	score	bw	md
								GiB/s	kIOP/s
1	Oakforest-PACS	JCAHPC	IME	DDN	2048	zip	137.78	560.10	33.89
2	ShaheenII	KAUST	DataWarp	Cray	1024	zip	77.37	496.81	12.05
3	ShaheenII	KAUST	Lustre	Cray	1000		41.00*	54.17	31.03*
4	JURON	JSC	BeeGFS	ThinkparQ	8		35.77*	14.24	89.81*
5	Mistral	DKRZ	Lustre2	Seagate	100		32.15	22.77	45.39
6	Sonasad	IBM	Spectrum Scale	IBM	10	zip	24.24	4.57	128.61
7	Seislab	Fraunhofer	BeeGFS	ThinkparQ	24		16.96	5.13	56.14
8	Mistral	DKRZ	Lustre1	Seagate	100	zip	15.47	12.68	18.88
9	Govorun	Joint Institute for Nuclear Research	Lustre	RSC	24	zip	12.08	3.34	43.65
10	EMSL Cascade	PNNL	Lustre		126		11.12	4.88	25.33
11	Serrano	SNL	Spectrum Scale	IBM	16		4.25*	0.65	27.98*
12	Jasmin/Lotus	STFC	NFS	Purestorage	64	zip	2.33	0.26	20.93

IO500 | Some Analysis of Second List


2017 Top Three



2018 Top Three



JCAHPC IME	101	138
KAUST DataWarp	71	77
KAUST Lustre	41	Did not resubmit



Please join us at SC18 BOF and please consider submitting your own results to the list.

Thank You!

Keep in touch with us.

- > git clone <https://github.com/VI4IO/io-500-dev>
- > cd io-500-dev
- > ./utilities/prepare.sh
- > ./io500.sh
- > email io-500@vi4io.org -m "Help with tuning please?"
- > tar cz . | email submit@io500.org -m "Submission attached"
- > wget <http://io500.org> | email mom -m "Hey mom, I'm on IO500!"